

Vandermonde determinant

Wu Jiajun

March 29, 2010

1 Definition

Definition 1.1. *Vandermonde Matrix*

A Vandermonde matrix, is a matrix with the terms of a geometric progression in each row, i.e., an $m \times n$ matrix

$$V^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_1^2 & \dots & \alpha_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_2^2 & \dots & \alpha_2^{n-1} \\ 1 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_3^2 & \dots & \alpha_3^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha_m & \alpha_m^2 & \dots & \alpha_m^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

or $V_{i,j}^T = \alpha_i^{j-1}$.

We may also use the transpose form, which can be the coefficient matrix of some polynomial equations.

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \dots & \alpha_m \\ \alpha_1^2 & \alpha_2^2 & \alpha_3^2 & \dots & \alpha_m^2 \\ \alpha_1^3 & \alpha_2^3 & \alpha_3^3 & \dots & \alpha_m^3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_1^{n-1} & \alpha_2^{n-1} & \alpha_3^{n-1} & \dots & \alpha_m^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Determinant of square Vandermonde matrix*

$$\det(V) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_i - a_j)$$

Proof. The following transformation doesn't change the determinant:

for $(i = 1; i! = n; ++ i)$

for $(j = n - 1; j! = i - 1; -- j)$

$$V \xrightarrow{R_{j+1} - a_i R_j} \text{transformed}(V)$$

The final matrix would be:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & a_2 - a_1 & a_3 - a_1 & a_4 - a_1 & \dots & a_n - a_1 \\ 0 & 0 & (a_3 - a_1)(a_3 - a_2) & (a_4 - a_2)(a_4 - a_1) & \dots & (a_n - a_1)(a_n - a_2) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (a_4 - a_1)(a_4 - a_2)(a_4 - a_3) & \dots & (a_n - a_1)(a_n - a_2)(a_n - a_3) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & (a_n - a_1)(a_n - a_2) \dots (a_n - a_{n-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Hence

$$\det(V) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_i - a_j)$$

□

Vandermonde matrix is closely related to the polynomial and differentiation when used with **L'Hospital's rule**.

Example 1.2.1. *Putnam 1986 A6*

Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n be real numbers, and let b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n be distinct positive integers. Suppose there is a polynomial $f(x)$ satisfying the identity

$$(1 - x)^n f(x) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^{b_i}$$

Find a simple expression (not involving any sums) for $f(1)$ in terms of b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n and n (but independent of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n).

Answer:

$$f(x) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n b_i}{n!}$$

Proof. Applying **L'Hospital's rule** to $f(1)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - x)^n f(x) &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^{b_i} \\ f(1) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} f(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^{b_i}}{(1 - x)^n} \\ f^{(i)}(x) &= \begin{cases} -1 & (i = 0) \\ 0 & (0 < i < n) \\ x & (i = n) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We can get the matrix for a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n :

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & -1 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & \dots & b_n & 0 \\ b_1(b_1 - 1) & b_2(b_2 - 1) & b_3(b_3 - 1) & \dots & b_n(b_n - 1) & 0 \\ b_1(b_1 - 1)(b_1 - 2) & b_2(b_2 - 1)(b_2 - 2) & b_3(b_3 - 1)(b_3 - 2) & \dots & b_n(b_n - 1)(b_n - 2) & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_1 \dots (b_1 - n + 1) & b_2 \dots (b_2 - n + 1) & b_3 \dots (b_3 - n + 1) & \dots & b_n \dots (b_n - n + 1) & x \end{array} \right)$$

Via elementary row operation from R_2 to R_{n+1} , the above matrix is equivalent to:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & -1 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & \dots & b_n & 0 \\ b_1^2 & b_2^2 & b_3^2 & \dots & b_n^2 & 0 \\ b_1^3 & b_2^3 & b_3^3 & \dots & b_n^3 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_1^n & b_2^n & b_3^n & \dots & b_n^n & x \end{array} \right)$$

Following the procedures we get the determinant of Vandermonde matrix, we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & b_2 - b_1 & b_3 - b_1 & b_4 - b_1 & \dots & b_n - b_1 \\ 0 & 0 & (b_3 - b_1)(b_3 - b_2) & (b_4 - b_2)(b_4 - b_1) & \dots & (b_n - b_1)(b_n - b_2)(b_n - b_3) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (b_4 - b_1)(b_4 - b_2)(b_4 - b_3) & \dots & (b_n - b_1)(b_n - b_2)(b_n - b_3) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & (b_n - b_1)(b_n - b_2) \dots (b_n - b_{n-1}) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_4 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ b_1 \\ -b_1 b_2 \\ b_1 b_2 b_3 \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^n b_1 b_2 \dots b_n \\ x - (-1)^n b_1 b_2 \dots b_n \end{pmatrix}$$

So $x - (-1)^n b_1 b_2 \dots b_n = 0$ Since $(1 - x)^{(n)} = (-1)^n n!$, we have the answer. \square

Notice the important application of **L'Hospital's Rule**, it is extremely useful to determine a polynomial.

Example 1.2.2. MAS941 Problem Set 7 Question 5

Prove that, suppose $n \neq 2^k$, if we know the pairwise sum of n numbers, we can deduce the n summands.

Proof. If there's only one solution, we can get the n summands via the following algorithm:

Algorithm

Denote the n summands are a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , the $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ known numbers are $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$.

1. Sort the $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ number. (Notice that $x_1 = a_1 + a_2, x_2 = a_1 + a_3$, we have:)
2. Set $i=3, x_i = a_1 + a_3$
3. Set the left minimum as $a_1 + a_4$, we can deduce all $the a_j + a_4$
4. Set the left minimum as $a_1 + a_5, \dots$

5. ...

6. If we get all the numbers, the algorithm halts. Otherwise, $i++$, Goto Step 2.

Otherwise, suppose there are two solution sets $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n$ and $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n$. We have: For $\forall t$,

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right)^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 - b_i^2\right)$$

□